

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/069,395	04/03/2002	Hideki Hisada	112061	3631
75	90 02/23/2004		EXAMINER	
Oliff & Berridge			SMITH, TYRONE W	
PO Box 19928 Alexandria, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, · ·			2837	
		DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_	6			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/069,395	HISADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tyrone W Smith	2837				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No.	ovember 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) ∱ is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 11-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
cos and addition dotained comes dealers for a list of the definited copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		D-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	•				

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed May 20, 2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. Applicant must submit a 1449.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 11-29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koide et al (5934395) in view of Tsuzuki et al (6018198) and Yamaguchi et al (6546319).

Regarding Claims [3] 11, 16-21, 25-27, 28 and 29. Koide discloses a hybrid vehicle drive system, which includes a first electric motor (Figure 2 item 16) that raise an engine to a speed for an ignition (abstract; column 8 lines 14-67 and column 8 lines 1-8). However, Koide does not disclose the control unit performing prepositioning control for controlling the electric motor so that the engine is positioned at a predetermined crankshaft position when stopped.

Tsuzuki discloses a hybrid drive apparatus for a vehicle which includes an electric control unit (Figure 1 item 5) for controlling the engine (Figure 1 item 1) and the electric motor (Figure 1 item 40), where the control unit perform preposition control for controlling the first

Application/Control Number: 10/069,395

Art Unit: 2837

electric motor with a torque output so that the engine is positioned at a predetermined crankshaft position when stopped (abstract; column 2 lines 33-67, column 3 lines 1-61, column 10 lines 9-67). However, neither Koide nor Tsuzuki disclose causing an electric motor to output a torque short of a torque necessary for running the engine continuously.

Yamaquchi discloses a control apparatus and control method for hybrid vehicle that includes an engine (Figure 1 item 11), first and second motor (Figure 1 item 16 and 25) and torque control means (Figure 1 item 93). Yamaguchi's invention causes the electric motor(s) to output a torque short of a torque necessary for running the engine continuously. Refer to the abstract, column 1 lines 53-60, column 2 lines 6-25, column 5 lines 55-67, column 6 lines 1-10, and column 20 lines 39-53.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use Koide's hybrid vehicle drive system with Tsuzuki's a hybrid drive apparatus for a vehicle and Yamaguchi discloses a control apparatus and control method for hybrid vehicle. The advantage of combining the inventions would provide a system capable of making a cranking characteristic at the restart of the engine constant so that the engine is restarted with a good response under a simple control in a state where the vehicle is driven by the motor generator.

Regarding Claim 12-15 and 22-24. Koide discloses a first and second electric motor (Figure 2 items 16 and 22) mechanically connected to the wheel and the control unit controls the second electric motor to absorb a fluctuation in the torque to be outputted to the wheel (column8 lines 14-67 and column 9 lines 1-65). Tsuzuki discloses a hybrid drive apparatus for a vehicle which includes an electric control unit (Figure 1 item 5) for controlling the engine (Figure 1 item 1) and the electric motor (Figure 1 item 40), where the control unit perform preposition control for controlling the first electric motor with a torque output so that the engine

Art Unit: 2837

is positioned at a predetermined crank-shaft position when stopped (abstract; column 2 lines 33-67, column 3 lines 1-61, column 10 lines 9-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use Koide's hybrid vehicle drive system with Tsuzuki's a hybrid drive apparatus for a vehicle and Yamaguchi discloses a control apparatus and control method for hybrid vehicle. The advantage of combining the inventions would provide a system capable of making a cranking characteristic at the restart of the engine constant so that the engine is restarted with a good response under a simple control in a state where the vehicle is driven by the motor generator.

4. Claims 5-10 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Amendment-Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments and amendments with respect to claims 1, 3, 4 and 11-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Pertinent art used in the rejection of claims is disclosed in the PTO-892.

Art Unit: 2837

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tyrone W Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-2075. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi, can be reached on 571-272-2071. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tyrone Smith Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2837

DOMARY EXAMINER